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교정된 파일내용 및 에디터가 고객님을 위하여 남긴 코멘트, 그리고 아래의 메세지를 확인하여 주십시오.

만일 교정 작업과 관련하여 문의사항이 있으신 경우, 또는 수정하신 부분에 대해 에디터의 교정이 필요하신 경우EditageOnlineTM (http://app.editage.co.kr/)에서 아래와 같이 접수하실 수 있습니다.

또한, 해당 작업에 대한 피드백을 통하여 보다 개선된 서비스를 받아보실 수 있습니다. EditageOnlineTM에서 완료된 작업 다운로드 창 또는 이용후기를 통해 해당 작업에 대한 피드백을 남겨주시기 바랍니다.

\* 에디터가 남긴 코멘트에 대한 답신 및 교정내역에 대해 질문 사항이 있으신 경우, 무료 ‘질문사항 제출하기’를 통하여 에디터와 교신이 가능합니다. 다만 이 경우, 질문 내용은 에디터에게 직접 전달되므로 영문으로 작성하여 주시기를 부탁드립니다.

\*\* 사소한 수정에서도 언어 오류가 발생할 수 있기 때문에, 저널 투고 바로 직전에는 항상 최종적으로 원어민에게 교정을 받으신 이후 투고하시는 것을 권장해 드립니다. 교정을 받으신 이후 추가 수정을 하실 경우, 프리미엄 365일 무료 재교정을 이용해 수정파일을 최종 검토를 받으시기 바랍니다.(홈페이지에서 무료 재교정 조건을 참고부탁드립니다.)

▶ **Acknowledgement에 에디티지를 언급해도 될까요?**

여러 저자분들께서 에디티지 교정 서비스 이용 후 Acknowledgement 에 에디티지를 언급합니다. ICMJE 같은 유수 편집인위원회에서 제공하는 가이드라인을 보면 교정이나 writing에 도움을 받은 회사를 Acknowledgement에 언급하도록 명시되어있습니다. 또한 이러한 Acknowledgement의 언급은 저널에디터/리뷰어에게 논문의 영어가 철저히 검토되었으며 출판을 위해 요구되는 기준을 충족한다는것을 뒷받침합니다.
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|  |
| --- |
| **Editor’s report** |
| I have provided feedback on your manuscript through specific comments along with ratings for relevant sections. The key below the table explains my ratings. I hope you find my feedback useful. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Section | Rating |
| **Title**  An effective title is concise while being representative. | ★★★ |
| **Abstract**  A good abstract explains the aims of the research, how these were met, and the main findings. | ★★ |
| **Introduction**  This section should set the context for the study, clearly state the research objective, and establish the significance of the study. | ★★★ |
| **Materials and methods**  This section should completely describe all methods, techniques, and instruments used. This includes ethical considerations. | ★★★ |
| **Results and discussion**  These sections should present the data and findings in a clear and unbiased manner, and address the objective or research question stated in the introduction. | ★★★ |
| **Conclusions**  A good concluding section notes the limitations of the study. It should mention the scope for further research as well as the implications/application of the study. | ★★ |
| **Tables and figures**  The tables and figures should present data clearly, should be referenced in and correspond with the text. | ★★★ |

|  |
| --- |
| ★★★ This section required only a few revisions.  ★★ Most parts of this section required revision.  ★ The entire section required significant revision. Please go through my comments/changes carefully. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments** |
| **SCOPE**  The APIC-IST 2022 aims to bring together a diverse group of industry professionals and academic researchers with a common interest to discuss emerging issues in computing environments, web applications, and their new supporting technologies. Given the study's and conference's goals, the two are complementary.  **NOVELTY OF THE STUDY**  The investigation began with a review of previous field research as well as the limitations of previous studies. On this premise, the research gap and research objective were established. The study's goal was to show how to use the existing Xception model after deformation to improve the accuracy of degenerative arthritis classification in radiographic images. The study's goal was met, and it helped to fill in some gaps in the literature. As a result, the findings and research are unique.  **RELEVANCE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY**  Without a doubt, this is an extremely important topic. Because the field has gotten so much attention from scholars, the findings are significant. The findings of the study have numerous theoretical and practical implications. Furthermore, because the study's findings are unique in that they address an existing theoretical and practical problem, they have far-reaching implications.  **SUBMISSION READINESS**  **Title**  The title is concise and easy to understand.  **Abstract**  The abstract is well-written, and it includes all relevant information about the study's purpose as well as key findings. The abstract's only flaw is the lack of information about the methods used to achieve the research goal.  **Introduction**  This section contains enough information to set the tone for the study, state the research goal, and explain the significance of the findings. In addition to the introduction, the study included a thorough literature review that investigated the research gap. The study had a solid foundation, which aided in the grounding of the research problem. This paved the way for the research goal, which was also stated clearly. The study did a fantastic job of elaborating on its contributions.  **Materials and methods**  This section was well-written, with just enough information about the study's methods and techniques to accomplish the goal. This section does not require any further changes.  **Results and discussion**  The findings of the study were presented and discussed in a clear and concise manner. The findings were presented in a clear and objective manner, with enough figures and tables, and they addressed the goal of the introduction. To achieve the research goal, the findings were discussed.  **Conclusions**  Despite the fact that the main findings are mentioned in the conclusion section, their implications are not addressed. Furthermore, some important details, such as the study's limitations, were overlooked. It's important to keep in mind that these constraints are what allow for more research in the future. As a result, limitations must be stated prior to future research plans being developed. |